

| State | Bill ^a number: Bill title | Status of Bill | Comment |
|----------------|---|---|--|
| Washington | SHB 1495: Sale of products - Stolen or misappropriated information technology | Bill passed on April 18, 2011 | In effect as of July 22, 2011 |
| Arizona | SB 1529: Stolen or misappropriated information technology | Referred to Senate Commerce and Energy Committee on February 2, 2011 | Includes some amendments to the Washington UCA |
| California | AB 473: Unfair competition | Died in Judiciary Committee on February 1, 2012 | Now a 2-year UCA Includes some amendments to the Washington Bill |
| Connecticut | HB 6619: Unfair business practices | Referred to Joint Committee on Judiciary on March 18, 2011 | Includes some amendments to the Washington UCA |
| Illinois | SB 1861: Software piracy SB 1075: Unfair Use of Information Technology Act ⁹ | SB 1861: Re-referred to Senate Assignments Committee on April 8, 2011 SB 1075: Amendments to the Bill re-referred to the Senate Assignments Committee on July 23, 2011 | SB 1861 was a placeholder bill to create the "Software Piracy Act" Amendments to SB 1075 include language regarding illegal IT |
| Indiana | SB 529: Stolen or misappropriated information technology | Referred to Senate Judiciary Committee on January 18, 2011 | Permits plaintiff the right to "enter onto the defendant's business premises to inspect any information technology, records, files, or other evidence that is relevant to the alleged unfair practice" ¹⁰ |
| Kentucky | HR 113 (11RS): Unfair trade practices from stolen information technology | Adopted by House on February 25, 2011 | Non-binding resolution "urging the Interim Joint Committee on Judiciary to examine unfair trade practices from stolen information technology and to urge all stakeholders to develop a legislative solution to address these unfair trade practices" ¹¹ |
| Louisiana | SB 415: Unfair trade practices and consumer protection law | Signed by Executive on June 1, 2010 | One of the first pieces of legislation to address the unfair competitive advantage that can result from the use of illegal IT |
| Massachusetts | H.2842: Unfair competition | Referred to Joint Judiciary Committee on January 24, 2011. Hearing scheduled for February 28, 2012 | Includes most amendments to the Washington UCA |
| Missouri | HB 1022: Stolen information technology | Referred to International Trade and Job Creation Committee on April 12, 2011 | Fairly identical to the Washington UCA |
| New York | A.3915: Illegal use of stolen or misappropriated software A.1544: Unfair competition | A.3915: Re-referred to the Economic Development, Job Creation, Commerce and Industry Committee Economic Development Committee on January 4, 2012 A.1544: Referred to the President, the Federal Trade Commission and the United States Congress on June 21, 2012 | Fairly identical to the Washington UCA |
| North Carolina | HB 672: Use of misappropriated IT an unfair practice | Referred to House Rules Committee on April 7, 2011 | Study bill Fairly identical to the Washington UCA |
| Oregon | HB 3315: Prohibits sale of product produced using stolen or misappropriated information technology | In Business and Labor Committee as of June 30, 2011 | Includes some amendments to the Washington UCA |
| Utah | SB 201: Protection against unfair competition through misappropriated technology | Died in Senate Committee on March 10, 2011 | Differs somewhat from the Washington UCA |